DETERMINATION OF V⁴⁺:V⁵⁺ RATIOS IN THE [V₁₀O₂₈]^{*n*-} DECAVANADATE POLYANION

MARK A. COOPER AND FRANK C. HAWTHORNE[§]

Department of Geological Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3T 2N2, Canada

ANTHONY R. KAMPF

Mineral Sciences Department, Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, 900 Exposition Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90007, USA

JOHN M. HUGHES

Department of Geology, University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05405, U.S.A.

Abstract

The decavanadate anion, $[V_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$, is a common constituent of vanadate minerals, and both protonated, $[H_xV_{10}O_{28}]^{(6-x)-}$, and mixed-valent, $[(V^{4+}_xV^{5+}_{10-x})O_{28}]^{(6+x)-}$, derivatives also occur. In addition, other highly charged cations (*e.g.*, As⁵⁺) may replace some V⁵⁺ ions. The V⁵⁺–O bond-valence equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985) gives the best calculated valences for V in decavanadates containing only V⁵⁺. The V⁴⁺–O bond-valence equation of Gagné & Hawthorne (2015) provides the best calculated valences for V⁴⁺ in non-decavanadate structures containing only V⁴⁺ in [1+4+1] coordination (*i.e.*, one vanadyl bond, four equatorial bonds, and one longer bond *trans* to the vanadyl bond). Combined application of these two equations to the V–O bond lengths in decavanadates, based on V⁴⁺/V⁵⁺ estimates, provides the most reliable valence assessment. Based on the high level of agreement between valences calculated in this manner and V⁴⁺/V⁵⁺ estimates from the V⁵⁺–O bond-valence equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985), V_C , the following equation gives the best estimate of the formal aggregate valence of V in decavanadate minerals: $V_P = 1.538(V_C) - 2.692$. This provides more accurate Lewis basicities for the decavanadate polyanion in analyzing its interaction with the interstitial complex. It should also help in establishing the strengths of donorhydrogen and hydrogen...acceptor bonding in decavanadate systems relevant to catalysis and bacterial-growth inhibition.

Keywords: decavanadate polyanion, decavanadate minerals, bond-valence.

INTRODUCTION

Secondary vanadium minerals commonly result from oxidation of primary vanadium minerals in ore bodies exposed to near-surface conditions. Evans & Garrels (1958) showed that several V-bearing oxyanions may be present in mineralizing solutions, depending on the Eh and pH of those solutions. At relatively high Eh, the decavanadate anion, $[V_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$, is stable at mildly acidic conditions, whereas its protonated derivatives, $[H_xV_{10}O_{28}]^{(6-x)-}$, occur at lower pH. At more reducing conditions, conducive to the presence of both V⁴⁺ and V⁵⁺, mixed-valence decavanadate anions, $[(V^{4+}_xV^{5+}_{10-x})O_{28}]^{(6+x)-}$, may occur. In addition, other highly charged cations (*e.g.*, As^{5+}) may replace some V⁵⁺ ions (*e.g.*, Kampf *et al.* 2016a). Decavanadates are also important as catalysts (*e.g.*, Villa *et al.* 2001, Csányi *et al.* 2003, Valverde *et al.* 2012) and as inhibitors of bacterial growth (*e.g.*, Gillet *et al.* 2016, Samart *et al.* 2018).

Minerals containing decavanadate polyanions conform well to the idea of binary representation of structure whereby a complicated structure is considered as two parts, a strongly bonded structural unit and a weakly bonded interstitial complex (Hawthorne 1983, Schindler *et al.* 2000b). The decavanadate polyanion corresponds to the structural unit, and additional low-acidity cations (Gagné & Hawthorne

[§] Corresponding author e-mail address: frank.hawthorne@umanitoba.ca

2017a), anions, e.g., $(OH)^-$, and formally neutral species, e.g., $(H_2O)^0$, that link to the decavanadate polyanion by bonds of less than 0.30 vu correspond to the interstitial complex. The interaction of the structural unit with the interstitial complex can be evaluated using the principle of correspondence of Lewis aciditybasicity (Hawthorne & Schindler 2008). The recognition and quantitative evaluation of the V⁴⁺ content in the usually V⁵⁺-dominated decavanadate polyanion is important in correctly establishing the net charge and site occupancies of the structural unit, and thereby more accurately evaluating the Lewis acidity of the interstitial unit, which is often less well-constrained chemically and structurally. We plan to address the protonated decavanadate structures rakovanite (Kampf et al. 2011a), gunterite (Kampf et al. 2011b), and possibly hydropascoite in a subsequent paper.

INCIDENT BOND-VALENCE SUMS AND ION VALENCES

In the bond-valence model (Brown 2016), the incident bond-valence sum for any ion is (approximately) equal to the ion valence, and hence the incident bond-valence sum to an ion should be an accurate measure of the formal valence of that ion. If this were the case, we should be able to calculate the formal valences of V in the polyanion of a decavanadate mineral from the incident bond-valence sums at the constituent Vⁿ⁺ cations. However, Gagné & Hawthorne (2015, 2016a) showed that the incident bond-valence sum at an ion is not necessarily an accurate measure of the formal valence of that ion: for example, there is a positive correlation between mean bond-valence sum and coordination number for alkali- and alkaline-earth ions bonded to O²⁻ (e.g., Gagné & Hawthorne 2016a, their Fig. 13). Furthermore, this increase in bond-valence sum is much larger for smaller coordination numbers and tends to level off at larger coordination numbers. In addition, calculation of a priori bond-lengths (Gagné & Hawthorne 2016b, Gagné et al. 2018) for many ions in different coordination numbers in a single structure-type leads to well-developed linear relations between a priori and observed mean-bondlengths (e.g., Gagné & Hawthorne 2016b). However, calculation of a priori bond-lengths (Gagné & Hawthorne 2016a, 2018) for a single ion in one particular coordination number (defined as an ion configuration) in many different structure-types leads to negligible correlation between a priori and observed mean-bond-lengths across these different structure types (Gagné & Hawthorne 2017b). Bond-valence parameters best fit the most common configurations (combinations of ions and coordination number) in the structure data used to derive the bond-valence parameters. Thus many structure types will show poorer agreement with the valence-sum rule, and estimation of ion valence-states from incident bond-valence sums in these structures will be correspondingly less accurate. However, the close correlation between *a priori* bond-lengths and observed bond-lengths for many ion configurations in a single structure-type indicates that it should be possible to optimize bond-valence parameters for a specific structure-type such that accurate ion-valences can be obtained. We attempt to do so here for the valences of V in minerals containing the decavanadate polyanion.

The decavanadate polyanion

Schindler et al. (2000a) defined the following types of V^{4+,5+}–O^{2–} bonds based on their observed populations in $(V^{4+,5+}O_n)$ (n = 5, 6) polyhedra: (1a) vanadyl bonds in $(V^{4+}O_n)$ polyhedra, shorter than 1.74 Å; (1b) vanadyl bonds in $(V^{5+}O_5)$ polyhedra, shorter than 1.76 Å; (1c) vanadyl bonds in $(V^{5+}O_6)$ polyhedra, shorter than 1.74 Å; (2a) equatorial bonds in $(V^{4+}O_n)$ polyhedra, in the range 1.90 to 2.12 Å; (2b) equatorial bonds in $(V^{5+}O_5)$ polyhedra, longer than 1.76 Å; (2c) equatorial bonds in $(V^{5+}O_6)$ polyhedra with one vanadyl bond, in the range 1.74 to 2.10 Å; (2d) equatorial bonds in $(V^{5+}O_6)$ polyhedra with two vanadyl bonds, in the range 1.80 to 2.00 Å; (3a) trans bonds in $(V^{4+}O_6)$ polyhedra, longer than 2.10 Å; (3b) *trans* bonds in $(V^{5+}O_6)$ polyhedra with one vanadyl bond, longer than 2.15 Å; (3c) *trans* bonds in ($V^{5+}O_6$) polyhedra with two vanadyl bonds, longer than 2.025 Å. These ranges are illustrated in Figure 1. Schindler et al. (2000a) identified two distinct octahedral coordinations: (1) [1+4+1]-coordination in which there is one vanadyl bond, four equatorial bonds, and one trans bond (Fig. 1a); (2) [2+2+2]-coordination in which there are two vanadyl bonds, two equatorial bonds, and two trans bonds (Fig. 1b).

The decavanadate polyanion $[V_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ is a densely packed cluster with edge- and face-sharing between eight (VO₆) octahedra with [1+4+1]-coordination and two (VO_6) octahedra with [2+2+2]coordination (Fig. 2). Short vanadyl bonds (V $-O_V$) project outward, opposing long *trans* bonds (V–O_T) that are directed toward the core of the polyanion, and there are two octahedrally coordinated O^{2-} ions at the core of the cluster. Fourteen O²⁻ ions are coordinated by two V5+ ions, four O2- ions each involving one equatorial and one vanadyl bond, and ten O atoms each involving two equatorial bonds. In addition, four O²⁻ ions are each involved in three equatorial (V⁵⁺- O^{2-}_{F}) bonds. The coordination of O^{2-} ions by V^{5+} ions varies from [1] to [6] and the bond valences for individual V^{5+} — O^{2-} bonds vary from ~0.25 to 1.75 vu (valence units). Recent high-quality structure-refine-



FIG. 1. Coordination geometries in $(V-O_n)$ polyhedra: (a) [1+4+1]-coordination with one vanadyl bond and one *trans* bond; (b) [2+2+2]-coordination with two *cis* vanadyl bonds and two *trans* bonds in a *trans* arrangement to each vanadyl bond; after Schindler *et al.* (2000a).

ments have been published for 14 decavanadate minerals (we exclude the lower-quality burroite structure refinement; Kampf *et al.* 2017a); most contain only V^{5+} (*i.e.*, the $[V_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ decavanadate cluster), and two (nashite, bluestreakite) are structures that have mixed-valence (*i.e.*, V^{4+}, V^{5+}) decavanadate clusters (Kampf *et al.* 2014b). Additionally, green hydropascoite was inferred to contain an unknown amount of V^{4+} (Kampf *et al.* 2017b).

The bond valences of V^{5+} in $[V^{5+}_{10}O_{28}]$ polyanions

The 10 non-protonated decavanadate minerals that contain only V^{5+} in the $[V_{10}O_{28}]$ polyanion (Kampf et al. 2014b) contain 48 symmetrically distinct VO₆ octahedra, 10 of which are [2+2+2] coordinated (i.e., have two vanadyl bonds) and 38 of which are [1+4+1] coordinated (i.e., have only one vanadyl bond) (Table 1). The formal valence of a specific V ion can be assessed using bond valences derived from the individual V-O bond-lengths using the bond-valence curves of Brown (1981), Brown & Altermatt (1985), Brese & O'Keeffe (1991), or Gagné & Hawthorne (2015), or calculated directly from the equatorial bond-length <V–O_E> (Schindler et al. 2000a) (Table 1). Applying the universal $V^{4+/5+}$ relation of Brown (1981) gives incident bond-valence sums at the 48 V sites of 4.57–4.74 vu. The V⁵⁺–O equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985) (the same equation as given by Brese & O'Keeffe 1991) gives bond-valence sums of 4.95-5.10 vu, and the V^{5+} -O equation of Gagné & Hawthorne (2015) gives sums of 4.67-4.80 vu. Therefore, only the V⁵⁺–O bond-valence equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985) seems to provide bondvalence sums in accord with V^{5+} ions in known $[V^{5+}_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ polyanions; the other equations will give valences consistently below 5+, and thereby incorrectly suggest the presence of V^{4+} in the decavanadate polyion. For a decavanadate in which all V is present as V^{5+} , the bond-valence equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985) is expected to produce bond-valence sums near 5.00 *vu* for well-refined decavanadate structures with accurate V–O distances, and a calculated value significantly lower than 5.00 *vu* (*i.e.*, below ~ 4.9 *vu*) should be considered anomalous and suggestive of possible V^{4+} content.

The linear equation of Schindler et al. (2000a) for calculating the valence of V for octahedrally coordinated V^{4-5+} , < Z > = 29 - 12.5 R (where < Z > = mean V valence and $R = \langle V - O_E \rangle$, gives average bondvalence values of 4.84-5.23 vu for the 48 polyhedra involving V^{5+} . Used on its own, this empirical relation may correctly suggest the presence of significant V^{4+} . but the large spread in the results ($\Delta = 0.39 vu$) calculated from known V⁵⁺ decavanadates suggests that it will not reliably detect the presence of minor V⁴⁺. However, we were able to improve its agreement using a modified expression, developed by multiple linear-regression analysis, which incorporates additional V-O bond-length criteria. We have found that the magnitude of the departure in the calculated <Z> value from the ideal value of 5 varies based on (1) V– O_V / V–O_T, (2) V–O_T – <V–O_E>, and (3) <V–O_E> – $V-O_V$, where $V-O_V$ is the vanadyl bond (or the average of two vanadyl bonds in [2+2+2] coordination), V– O_T is the *trans* bond (or the average of two



FIG. 2. The $[V_{10}O_{28}]^{n-}$ decavanadate polyanion. V atoms = black circles, [1]-coordinated O atoms = red circles, [2]-coordinated O atoms = blue circles, [3]-coordinated O atoms = green circles, [6]-coordinated O atoms = yellow circles, V–O_{vanadyl} bonds = thick black line, V–O_{rrans} bonds = thin black line, V–O_{equatorial} bonds = grey shaded line.

trans bonds in [2+2+2] coordination), and $\langle V-O_E \rangle$ is the average of the equatorial bonds. The value (5 – $\langle Z \rangle$)_{predicted} using the results from multiple regression is shown as a function of (5 – $\langle Z \rangle$)_{observed} in Figure 3 for the 48 known V⁵⁺O₆ polyhedra. The correlation (r² = 0.95) indicates that the raw $\langle Z \rangle$ values derived from the Schindler *et al.* (2000a) equation, using only $\langle V-O_E \rangle$ input, can be significantly improved for decavanadates containing only V⁵⁺ using the following equation:

$$\begin{split} \langle Z \rangle_m &= \langle Z \rangle + 39.8(1.9) \times (V - O_V / V - O_T) \\ &+ 11.8(6) \times (V - O_T - \langle V - O_E \rangle) \\ &+ 21.0(1.0) \times (\langle V - O_E \rangle - V - O_V) \\ &- 38.907(17) \end{split}$$

The predicted values of the mean valence, $\langle Z \rangle_m$, range from 4.96 to 5.05 and the equation is expected to reliably confirm the presence of V⁵⁺ in a decavanadate. However, it should not be used to quantify the amount of V⁴⁺ at a given site, as the valence will be significantly overestimated. As there are no known decavanadate structures with fully ordered V⁴⁺ sites, we cannot empirically develop an analogous method for V⁴⁺ polyhedra. These results show that accurate prediction of the mean valence of V in decavanadates requires information for all V–O bonds.

Prediction of V^{4+} in decavanadates

Within the decavanadate polyanion, we would expect V^{4+} to occur at one or more of the [1+4+1] coordinated V sites, as V^{4+} does not show [2+2+2]coordination (Schindler et al. 2000a). We next carefully selected seven simple stoichiometric (nondecavanadate) structures from the International Crystal Structure Database (Siidra et al. 2014, Cooper et al. 2003, Cevik et al. 2010, Panin et al. 2004, Krivovichev et al. 2007, Schindler et al. 2003, Hawthorne et al. 2001) that (1) reliably contain only V^{4+} in [1+4+1] coordination, (2) are recent (> year 2000), (3) are well ordered, and (4) have low R_1 indices ($R_1 < 5\%$). Each structure contains a single symmetrically distinct $V^{4+}O_6$ polyhedron. Bond-valence sums at the V sites were calculated using (1) the universal $V^{4+/5+}O$ equation of Brown (1981), (2) the V⁴⁺-O equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985), and (3) the V^{4+} –O equation of Gagné & Hawthorne (2015); the following ranges of bond-valence sums for the V sites were obtained: (1) 4.02-4.14 vu, (2) 4.11-4.16 vu, (3) 3.99-4.06 vu, respectively. The results are similar, but the equation of Gagné & Hawthorne (2015) provides sums with the tightest dispersion about the ideal value of 4 vu. We also calculated bond-valence sums for all V^{4+}

		V–O bond lengths (Å)			V-site valence	(<i>nn</i>) suns		
	V-0_	V-0 _T	<v-0e></v-0e>	<z> (S/H,2000)</z>	B/A (1985)	G/H (2015)	B (1981)	Refs.
Huemulite	1.601-1.6901	2.1263–2.3045	1.902-1.923	4.96-5.23	5.00-5.08	4.72-4.78	4.62-4.73	Ξ
Hughesite	1.595-1.697	2.111–2.354	1.907-1.933	4.84–5.16	4.95-5.05	4.68-4.76	4.57-4.72	5
Hummerite	1.600-1.6931	2.1168–2.3428	1.9061-1.9195	5.01-5.17	5.02-5.07	4.73-4.77	4.62-4.71	[3]
Kokinosite	1.601-1.690	2.129–2.311	1.908-1.924	4.95-5.15	4.98-5.07	4.71-4.77	4.61-4.71	[4]
Lasalite	1.6001-1.6898	2.1124–2.3228	1.9084-1.9215	4.98–5.14	5.03-5.06	4.74-4.77	4.65-4.71	[2]
Magnesiopascoite	1.600–1.689	2.134–2.316	1.908-1.913	5.09-5.15	5.03-5.10	4.74-4.80	4.66-4.73	[9]
Pascoite	1.598-1.687	2.1339–2.312	1.906–1.914	5.08-5.18	5.05 - 5.09	4.73-4.80	4.68-4.74	
Postite	1.599–1.692	2.120-2.334	1.913-1.925	4.94-5.09	4.96-5.07	4.67-4.78	4.60-4.74	[8]
Schindlerite	1.595-1.695	2.110-2.3608	1.910-1.9204	4.99–5.13	5.00 - 5.04	4.72-4.75	4.61-4.69	[9, 10]
Wernerbaurite	1.5990-1.6926	2.1182–2.3245	1.9097-1.9183	5.02-5.13	5.01-5.07	4.72-4.77	4.62-4.71	[9, 10]
∇	1.595–1.697	2.110–2.3608	1.902-1.933	4.84-5.23	4.95-5.10	4.67–4.80	4.57-4.74	
[1]: Colombo et al. (;	2011); [2]: Rakovan <i>e</i>	<i>t al.</i> (2011); [3]: Hugh	es <i>et al.</i> (2002); [4]: I	Kampf <i>et al.</i> (2014a); [5]: Hughes <i>et</i>	<i>аІ.</i> (2008); [6]: Ка	ampf & Steele (2	08); [7]:

sites using the V⁵⁺–O equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985) in order to provide a useful baseline value for full V^{4+} occupancy; these sums spanned 4.33–4.38 vu. Thus if all V sites in all decavanadates are first evaluated using the V5+-O equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985), bond-valence sums at the V sites are expected to lie between \sim 5.00 and 4.35 vu for V occupancies spanning V⁵⁺_{1.0} to V⁴⁺_{1.0}, respectively, following the linear relation $V_{\rm C} = 0.65 V_{\rm P} + 1.75$ where $V_{\rm C}$ is the calculated bond-valence and $V_{\rm P}$ is the actual valence. We may rearrange this expression to give the predicted (actual) valence for V at a given V site: $V_P =$ $1.538(V_{\rm C}) - 2.692$, where Vc is the bond-valence sum calculated using the V5+-O equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985). This predicted valence $(V_{\rm P})$ can then be further tested against a merged bond-valence calculation (V_{test}) , that incorporates the predicted fractional V^{4+} (from the equation of Gagné & Hawthorne 2015) and the fractional V^{5+} character (from the equation of Brown & Altermatt 1985) for each V–O bond using the $V_{\rm P}$ result.

Mixed $V^{4+/5+}$ decavanadates

Two decavanadate minerals are known to contain V^{4+} in the decavanadate polyanion: nashite, Na_3 Ca₂[V⁴⁺V⁵⁺₉O₂₈](H₂O)₂₄ (Kampf et al. 2013b), and bluestreakite, $K_4Mg_2[V^{4+}_2V^{5+}_8O_{28}](H_2O)_{14}$ (Kampf *et* al. 2014b). Notably, such mixed-valence decavanadates are green due to inter-valence charge-transfer, rather than shades of orange that are characteristic of V^{5+} decavanadate minerals.

Nashite. Valence calculations for the five V sites in nashite are given in Table 2. Bond-valence sums calculated using the equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985) give values close to 5 (4.99-5.09 vu) for V1, V2, and V3, and smaller values (4.76 and 4.88 vu) for V4 and V5. This result was used by Kampf et al. (2013b) to indicate the presence of significant V^{4+} at the V4 and V5 sites. These authors then applied the universal $V^{4+/5+}$ equation of Brown (1981) to the V4 and V5 sites and reported incident bond-valence values of 4.43 and 4.56 vu, respectively. Subsequently, 1.01 atoms of V⁴⁺ and 0.99 atoms of V⁵⁺ were jointly assigned to the V4 and V5 sites, and the decavanadate composition was given as $[V^{4+}V^{5+}{}_{9}O_{28}]$. However, this formula is not correct, as the assignment of $(V_{0.99}^{4+}V_{1.01}^{5+})$ to the V4 and V5 sites would result in a decavanadate composition of $[V_2^{4+}V_8^{5+}O_{28}]^{8-}$, as the V4 and V5 sites are both at general positions (4e)and jointly contribute 4 $V(i.e., V^{4+}_{2}V^{5+}_{2})$ apfu. For the 10 decavanadate minerals known to contain only V⁵⁺, it was shown above that the universal $V^{4+/5+}$ equation of Brown (1981) gave bond-valence sums at the V sites that are significantly below 5 vu, and the same is true

Hughes *et al.* (2005); [8]: Kampf *et al.* (2012); [9], [10]: Kampf *et al.* (2013a), Kampf *et al.* (2016b).



FIG. 3. Predicted (5 – <Z>) values (Schindler *et al.* 2000a) from multiple-regression analysis with dependent variables V–O_V/V–O_T, V–O_T – <V–O_E>, and <V–O_E> – V–O_V plotted against the observed (5 – <Z>) values for 48 (VO₆) octahedra belonging to decavanadate minerals containing only V⁵⁺. The black line is a 1:1 reference line.

for the V1, V2, and V3 sites in nashite (Table 2). We surmise that the values of 4.43 and 4.56 vu calculated for the V4 and V5 sites could also be too low and not accurately reflect the V⁴⁺ content at these sites. Application of our predictive equation for the valence of V, $V_p = 1.538(V_c) - 2.692$, to the V4 and V5 sites in nashite gives predicted bond-valences of 4.63 and 4.81 at the V4 and V5 sites, respectively. We may test these V_p values against similarly partitioned coupled-valence calculations [*i.e.*, 37% V⁴⁺–O and 63% V⁵⁺–O at V4, 19% V⁴⁺–O and 81% V⁵⁺–O at V5 using the V⁴⁺– O equation of Gagné & Hawthorne (2015) and the V⁵⁺–O equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985)] for each V–O bond; these produce the same valence sums at the V4 and V5 sites (Table 2). Thus, the inferred V4 and V5 site occupancies are $^{V4}(V^{4+}_{0.37}V^{5+}_{0.63})$ and $^{V5}(V^{4+}_{0.19}V^{5+}_{0.81})$ and the resulting composition of the decavanadate polyanion is $[V^{4+}_{1.12}V^{5+}_{8.88}O_{28}]$. This expression can be simplified to $[V^{4+}V^{5+}_{9}O_{28}]^{7-}$ in accord with the formula proposed by Kampf *et al.* (2013b).

Bluestreakite. Valence calculations for the five V sites in bluestreakite are given in Table 2. Bond-valence sums using the equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985) show that only the V2 site seems to be fully occupied by V^{5+} , with the V3 and V5 sites most

TABLE 2. BOND-VALENCE SUMS FOR THE V SITES IN MIXED (V ⁴⁺ /V ⁵⁺)	DECAVANADATES
---	------------------------------------	---------------

	V site	<z> _(S/H, 2000)</z>	B (1981)	B/A (1985) V _C	VP	*V _{test}
Nashite	V1	5.23	4.61	4.99	5	
	V2	5.05	4.65	5.01	5	
	V3	5.14	4.71	5.09	5	
	V4	4.80	4.43	4.76	4.63	4.63
	V5	4.94	4.56	4.88	4.81	4.82
Bluestreakite	V1	4.98	4.45	4.83	4.74	4.74
	V2	5.00	4.62	4.99	5	
	V3	4.72	4.29	4.63	4.43	4.44
	V4	4.97	4.57	4.91	4.86	4.86
	V5	4.54	4.22	4.56	4.32	4.32

* Partitioned valence calculations using the V_P result, V⁴⁺–O equation of Gagné & Hawthorne (2015) and the V⁵⁺–O equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985).

strongly occupied by V^{4+} . The V1 and V4 sites seem to contain only minor V^{4+} . The overall V^{4+} content assigned to the V sites in the decavanadate polyanion by Kampf et al. (2014b) is V⁴⁺₂ pfu based on chargebalance arguments, with nearly fully occupied Mg and K sites in the interstitial complex. Application of our predictive expression, $V_{\rm p} = 1.538(V_{\rm c}) - 2.692$, to the V1, V3, V4, and V5 sites in bluestreakite gives predicted bond-valence sums of 4.74, 4.43, 4.86, and 4.32 vu, respectively (Table 2). As we saw for nashite, these V_p values are in close agreement (*i.e.*, within (0.01 vu) with bond-valence sums calculated using the predicted $V^{4+/5+}$ fractional valence character (V_P), the V^{4+} –O equation of Gagné & Hawthorne (2015), and the V⁵⁺–O equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985). The resultant V-site compositions for bluestreakite are: $V^{1}(V^{4+}_{0.26}V^{5+}_{0.74}), V^{2}(V^{5+}), V^{3}(V^{4+}_{0.57}V^{5+}_{0.43}), V^{4}(V^{4+}_{0.14}V^{5+}_{0.86}), \text{ and } V^{5}(V^{4+}_{0.68}V^{5+}_{0.32}), \text{ and the}$ resulting decavanadate polyanion composition is $[V^{4+}_{3.30}V^{5+}_{6.70}O_{28}]^{9.3-}$. The V^{4+} content in this expression significantly exceeds that in the simplified expression $[V^{4+}_{2}V^{5+}_{8}O_{28}]^{8-}$ given by Kampf *et al.* (2014b) and suggests that, with a fully occupied interstitial component, $\{K_4Mg_2(H_2O)_{14}\}^{8+}$, an additional as yet uncharacterized charge-balancing mechanism is involved.

DISCUSSION

The equation $V_P = 1.538(V_C) - 2.692$, where Vc is the bond-valence sum calculated using the V⁵⁺–O equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985), gives the best estimate of the formal aggregate valence, V_P, of V in decavanadate minerals. This accurate valence of V will provide more accurate Lewis basicities for the decavanadate polyanion in analyzing its interaction with the interstitial complex in minerals.

This equation will establish more accurate values of the strengths of donor-hydrogen and hydrogen--acceptor bonds. The latter is of particular importance in decavanadate systems that are of relevance to a wide range of uses (Bošnjaković-Pavlović et al. 2011). Vanadium salts are effective anti-diabetic (e.g., Crans 2015, Trevino et al. 2015, Boulmier et al. 2017), anticancer (e.g., Lu & Zhu 2011, Leon et al. 2017, Crans et al. 2018), and immunotherapy (e.g., Lu & Zhu 2011, Selman et al. 2018) agents. The valence of V is particularly sensitive to redox conditions and V is converted from V⁵⁺ to lower oxidation states in the body (e.g., Thompson et al. 2009, Willsky et al. 2011). The determination of the valence states of V is of importance here, particularly where decavanadates are involved. Decavanadates are also of relevance to catalysis and bacterial-growth inhibition. In the latter use, some component of a bacterium can catalyze hydrolysis (i.e., protonation) of decavanadate (Samart *et al.* 2018), and hence both determination of the valence states of V and the state of protonation of a decavanadate is important in this regard.

SUMMARY

- (1) The V^{4+,5+} valence equation of Schindler *et al.* (2000a), $\langle Z \rangle = 29 12.5 R$ (where $\langle Z \rangle =$ mean V valence and R = $\langle V-O_E \rangle$), predicts the average valence of octahedrally coordinated V in structures using only $\langle V-O_E \rangle$, but it lacks sufficient accuracy.
- (2) Additional accuracy for decavanadates containing only V⁵⁺ may be obtained by incorporating additional V–O bond-length information into an extended version of this equation:

$$\begin{split} \langle Z \rangle_m &= \langle Z \rangle + 39.8(1.9) \times (V - O_V / V - O_T) \\ &+ 11.8(6) \times (V - O_T - \langle V - O_E \rangle) \\ &+ 21.0(1.0) \times (\langle V - O_E \rangle - V - O_V) \\ &- 38.907(17) \end{split}$$

- (3) Use of the universal V^{4+/5+} bond-valence equation of Brown (1981) is not recommended for the determination of V⁴⁺:V⁵⁺ ratios in decavanadate minerals, as it generally gives calculated valences significantly below 5 where only V⁵⁺ is present within the decavanadate.
- (4) The V⁵⁺–O bond-valence equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985) gives the best calculated valences for V in decavanadates containing only V⁵⁺.
- (5) The V⁴⁺–O bond-valence equation of Gagné & Hawthorne (2015) provides the best calculated valences for V⁴⁺ in non-decavanadate structures containing only V⁴⁺ in [1+4+1] coordination.
- (6) The combined application of these two equations to the V–O bond lengths, based on a reliable V^{4+/} V⁵⁺ estimate, is expected to provide the most reliable valence assessment. There is close agreement between valences calculated in this manner, $V_{\rm C}$, and V^{4+/}V⁵⁺ estimates obtained from the V⁵⁺–O bond-valence equation of Brown & Altermatt (1985). Extending this relation to an empirically established V⁴⁺-only benchmark, the following equation gives the best estimate of the bond-valence sum (and therefore formal valence of V_P): $V_{\rm P} = 1.538(V_{\rm C}) 2.692$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank David Brown and Michael Schindler for their very helpful reviews. This work was supported by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and by grants from the Canada Foundation for Innovation to FCH.

References

- BOŠNJAKOVIĆ-PAVLOVIĆ, N., PRÉVOST, J., & SPASOJEVIĆ-DE BIRÉ, A. (2011) Crystallographic statistical study of decavanadate anion based-structures: Toward a prediction of noncovalent interactions. *Crystal Growth and Design* 11, 3778–3789.
- BOULMIER, A., FENG, X.X., OMS, O., MIALANE, P., RIVIÈRE, E., SHIN, C.J., YAO, J., KUBO, T., FURUTA, T., OLDFIELD, E., & DOLBECQ, A. (2017) Anticancer activity of polyoxometalate-bisphosphonate complexes: synthesis, characterization, *in vitro* and *in vivo* results. *Inorganic Chemistry* 56, 7558–7565.
- BRESE, N.E. & O'KEEFFE, M. (1991) Bond-valence parameters for solids. *Acta Crystallographica* B47, 192–197.
- BROWN, I.D. (1981) The bond-valence method: an empirical approach to chemical structure and bonding. *In* Structure and Bonding in Crystals, Vol. 2 (M. O'Keeffe & A. Navrotsky, eds.). Academic Press, New York City, New York (1–30).
- BROWN, I.D. (2016) The Chemical Bond in Inorganic Chemistry: The Bond Valence Model, 2nd Edition. Oxford University Press, Oxford, England.
- BROWN, I.D. & ALTERMATT, D. (1985) Bond-valence parameters obtained from a systematic analysis of the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database. *Acta Crystallographica* B41, 244–247.
- CEVIK, S., SASMAZ, B., YENIKAYA, C., COLAK, F., SARI, M., & BUYUKGUNGOR, O. (2010) Synthesis, structural, spectral, thermal and comparative biological activity studies of V₂O₂(SO₄)₂(H₂O)₆. *Zhurnal Neorganicheskoi Khimii* 55, 546–553.
- COLOMBO, F., BAGGIO, R., & KAMPF, A.R. (2011) The crystal structure of the elusive huemulite. *Canadian Mineralogist* **49**, 849–864.
- COOPER, M.A., HAWTHORNE, F.C., GRICE, J.D., & HAYNES, P. (2003) Anorthominasragrite, V⁴⁺O(SO₄) (H₂O)₅, a new mineral species from Temple mountain, Emery county, Utah, U.S.A.: Description, crystal structure and hydrogen bonding. *Canadian Mineralogist* **40**, 1429–1435.
- CRANS, D.C. (2015) Antidiabetic, chemical, and physical properties of organic vanadates as presumed transitionstate inhibitors for phosphatases. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 80, 11899–11915.
- CRANS, D.C., YANG, L., HAASE, A., & YANG, X. (2018) Health benefits of vanadium and its potential as an anticancer agent. *In* Metallo-Drugs: Development and Action of Anticancer Agents (A. Sigel, H. Sigel, E. Freisinger, & R.O. Sigel, eds.). De Gruyter & Co., Berlin, Germany (546 pp.).

- CSÁNYI, L.J., JÁKY, K., DOMBI, G., EVANICS, F., DEZSŐ, G., & KÓTA, Z. (2003) Onium-decavanadate ion-pair complexes as catalysts in the oxidation of hydrocarbons by O₂. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical* 195, 101–111.
- EVANS, H.T., JR. & GARRELS, R.M. (1958) Thermodynamic equilibria of vanadium in aqueous systems as applied to the interpretation of the Colorado Plateau ore deposits. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 15, 131–149.
- GAGNÉ, O. & HAWTHORNE, F.C. (2015) Comprehensive derivation of bond-valence parameters for ion pairs involving oxygen. Acta Crystallographica B71, 562–578.
- GAGNÉ, O. & HAWTHORNE, F.C. (2016a) Bond-length distributions for ions bonded to oxygen: alkali and alkalineearth metals. Acta Crystallographica B72, 602–625.
- GAGNÉ, O. & HAWTHORNE, F.C. (2016b) Chemographic exploration of the milarite-type structure. *Canadian Mineralogist* 54, 1229–1247.
- GAGNÉ, O. & HAWTHORNE, F.C. (2017a) Empirical Lewis-acid strengths for 135 cations bonded to oxygen. Acta Crystallographica B73, 956–961.
- GAGNÉ, O. & HAWTHORNE, F.C. (2017b) Mean bond-length variations in crystals for ions bonded to oxygen. Acta Crystallographica B73, 1019–1031.
- GAGNÉ, O., MERCIER, P.H.J., & HAWTHORNE, F.C. (2018) A priori bond-valence and bond-length calculations in rockforming minerals. Acta Crystallographica B74, 470–482.
- GILLET, J-.M., WU, P., WEI, Y., & SPASOJEVIĆ-DE BIRÉ, A. (2016) A combined crystallographic analysis and *ab initio* calculations to interpret the reactivity of functionalized hexavanadates and their inhibitor potency toward Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* 161, 27–36.
- HAWTHORNE, F.C. (1983) Graphical enumeration of polyhedral clusters. *Acta Crystallographica* A39, 724–736.
- HAWTHORNE, F.C. & SCHINDLER, M. (2008) Understanding the weakly bonded constituents in oxysalt minerals. *Zeitschrift für Kristallographie* 223, 41–68.
- HAWTHORNE, F.C., SCHINDLER, M., GRICE, J.D., & HAYNES, P. (2001) Orthominasragrite, V⁴⁺O(SO₄)(H₂O)₅, a new mineral species from Temple mountain, Emery county, Utah, U.S.A. *Canadian Mineralogist* **39**, 1325–1331.
- HUGHES, J.M., SCHINDLER, M., RAKOVAN, J., & CURETON, F.E. (2002) The crystal structure of hummerite, KMg (V_5O_{14}) ·8H₂O: bonding between the $[V_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ structural unit and the $\{K_2Mg_2(H_2O)_{16}\}^{6+}$ interstitial complex. *Canadian Mineralogist* **40**, 1429–1435.
- HUGHES, J.M., SCHINDLER, M., & FRANCIS, C.A. (2005) The C2/ m disordered structure of pascoite, $Ca_3(V_{10}O_{28}) \cdot 17H_2O$: Bonding between structural units and interstitial complexes in compounds containing the $[V_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ decavanadate polyanion. *Canadian Mineralogist* **43**, 1379–1386.
- Ниднея, J.M., Wise, W.S., GUNTER, M.E., MORTON, J.P., & RAKOVAN, J. (2008) Lasalite, Na₂Mg₂[V₁₀O₂₈]-20H₂O, a

new decavanadate mineral species from the Vanadium Queen mine, La Sal District, Utah: Description, atomic arrangement, and relationship to the pascoite group of minerals. *Canadian Mineralogist* **46**, 1365–1372.

- KAMPF, A.R. & STEELE, I.M. (2008) Magnesiopascoite, a new member of the pascoite group: Description and crystal structure. *Canadian Mineralogist* 46, 679–686.
- KAMPF, A.R., HUGHES, J.M., MARTY, J., GUNTER, M.E., & NASH, B. (2011a) Rakovanite, Na₃{H₃[V₁₀O₂₈]}·15H₂O, a new member of the pascoite family with a protonated decavanadate polyanion. *Canadian Mineralogist* 49, 595– 604.
- KAMPF, A.R., HUGHES, J.M., MARTY, J., & NASH, B. (2011b) Gunterite, Na₄(H₂O)₁₆(H₂V₁₀O₂₈)·6H₂O, a new mineral species with a doubly-protonated decavanadate polyanion: Crystal structure and descriptive mineralogy. *Canadian Mineralogist* **49**, 1243–1251.
- KAMPF, A.R., HUGHES, J.M., MARTY, J., & NASH, B. (2012) Postite, Mg(H₂O)₆Al₂(OH)₂(H₂O)₈(V₁₀O₂₈)·13H₂O, a new mineral species from the La Sal mining district, Utah: Crystal structure and descriptive mineralogy. *Canadian Mineralogist* **50**, 45–53.
- $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{KAMPF, A.R., HUGHES, J.M., MARTY, J., & NASH, B. (2013a) \\ \mbox{Wernerbaurite, } & [Ca(H_2O)_7]_2(H_2O)_2(H_3O)_2\} \{V_{10}O_{28}\}, \\ \mbox{and schindlerite, } & [Na_2(H_2O)_{10}](H_3O)_4\} \{V_{10}O_{28}\}, \mbox{ the first hydronium-bearing decavanadate minerals. Canadian Mineralogist 51, 297–312. } \end{array}$
- KAMPF, A.R., HUGHES, J.M., MARTY, J., & BROWN, F.H. (2013b) Nashite, Na₃Ca₂([V⁴⁺V⁵⁺₉]O₂₈)·24H₂O, a new mineral species from the Yellow Cat Mining District, Utah and the Slick Rock mining district, Colorado: Crystal structure and descriptive mineralogy. *Canadian Mineralogist* **51**, 27–37.
- KAMPF, A.R., HUGHES, J.M., NASH, B., & MARTY, J. (2014a) Kokinosite, Na₂Ca₂(V₁₀O₂₈)·24H₂O, a new decavanadate mineral species from the St. Jude mine, Colorado: Crystal structure and descriptive mineralogy. *Canadian Mineral*ogist 52, 15–25.
- KAMPF, A.R., HUGHES, J.M., MARTY, J., NASH, B., CHEN, Y.-S., & STEELE, I.M. (2014b) Bluestreakite, K_4Mg_2 $(V^{4+}_2V^{5+}_8O_{28})\cdot 14H_2O$, a new mixed-valence decavanadate mineral from the Blue Streak mine, Montrose County, Colorado: Crystal structure and descriptive mineralogy. *Canadian Mineralogist* **52**, 1007–1018.
- KAMPF, A.R., HUGHES, J.M., NASH, B., & MARTY, J. (2016a) Vanarsite, packratite, morrisonite, and gatewayite: Four new minerals containing the [As³⁺V^{4+,5+}₁₂As⁵⁺₆O₅₁] heteropolyanion, a novel polyoxometalate cluster. *Canadian Mineralogist* 54, 145–162.
- KAMPF, A.R., HUGHES, J.M., NASH, B., MARTY, J., COOPER, M.A., HAWTHORNE, F.C., KARPENKO, V.Y., PAUTOV, L.A., & AGAKHANOV, A.A. (2016b) Revision of the formulae of wernerbaurite and schindlerite: ammonium- rather than hydronium-bearing decavanadate minerals. *Canadian Mineralogist* 54, 555–558.

- KAMPF, A.R., NASH, B.P., HUGHES, J.M., & MARTY, J. (2017a) Burroite, Ca₂(NH₄)₂(V₁₀O₂₈)·15H₂O, a new decavanadate mineral from the Burro Mine, San Miguel county, Colorado. *Canadian Mineralogist* 55, 473–481.
- KAMPF, A.R., NASH, B.P., MARTY, J., HUGHES, J.M., & ROSE, T.P. (2017b) Hydropascoite, Ca₃(V₁₀O₂₈)·24H₂O, a new decavanadate mineral from the Packrat mine, Mesa county, Colorado. *Canadian Mineralogist* 55, 207–217.
- KRIVOVICHEV, S.V., VERGASOVA, L.P., BRITVIN, S.N., FILATOV, S.K., KAHLENBERG, V., & ANANIEV, V.V. (2007) Paulflerite, beta-(VO(SO₄)), a new mineral species from the Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka peninsula, Russia. *Canadian Mineralogist* **45**, 921–927.
- LEON, I.E., CADAVID-VARGAS, J.F., DI VIRGILIO, A.L., & ETCHEVERRY, S.B. (2017) Vanadium, ruthenium and copper compounds: a new class of nonplatinum metallodrugs with anticancer activity. *Current Medicinal Chemistry* 24, 112–148.
- LU, L.P. & ZHU, M.L. (2011) Metal-based inhibitors of protein tyrosine phosphatases. *Anti-Cancer Agents in Medicinal Chemistry* 11, 164–171.
- PANIN, R.V., SHPANCHENKO, R.V., MIRONOV, A.V., VELIKODNY, Y.A., ANTIPOV, E.V., HADERMANN, J., TARNOPOLSKY, V.A., YAROSLAVTSEV, A.B., & KAUL, E.E. (2004) Crystal structure, polymorphism and properties of the new vanadylphosphate Na₄VO(PO₄)₂. *Chemistry of Materials* 16, 1048–1055.
- RAKOVAN, J., SCHMIDT, G.R., GUNTER, M.E., NASH, B., MARTY, J., KAMPF, A.R., & WISE, W.S. (2011) Hughesite, Na₃Al(V₁₀O₂₈)·22H₂O, a new member of the pascoite family of minerals from the Sunday mine, San Miguel county, Colorado. *Canadian Mineralogist* **49**, 1253–1265.
- SAMART, N., ARHOUMA, Z., KUMAR, S., MURAKAMI, H.A., CRICK, D.C., & CRANS, D.C. (2018) Decavanadate inhibits mycobacterial growth more potently than other oxovanadates. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, DOI: 10.3389/fchem.2018.00519.
- SCHINDLER, M., HAWTHORNE, F.C., & BAUR, W.H. (2000a) Crystal-chemical aspects of vanadium: Polyhedral geometries, characteristic bond valences and polymerization of (VO_n) polyhedra. *Chemistry of Materials* 12, 1248–1259.
- SCHINDLER, M.C., HAWTHORNE, F.C., & BAUR, W.H. (2000b) A crystal-chemical approach to the composition and occurrence of vanadium minerals. *Canadian Mineralogist* 38, 1443–1456.
- SCHINDLER, M.C., HAWTHORNE, F.C., HUMINICKI, D.M.C., HAYNES, P., GRICE, J.D., & EVANS, H.T., JR. (2003) Bobjonesite V⁴⁺O(SO₄)(H₂O)₃, a new mineral species from Temple mountain, Emery county, Utah, U.S.A. *Canadian Mineralogist* **41**, 83–90.
- SELMAN, M., ROUSSO, C., BERGERON, A., SON, H., KRISHNAN R., EL-SAYES, N.A., VARETTE, O., CHEN, A., LE BOEUF, F., TZELEPIS, F., BELL, J.C., CRANS, D.C., & DIALLO, J.-S. (2018) Multi-modal potentiation of oncolytic virotherapy by vanadium compounds. *Molecular Therapy* 26, 56–69.

- SIIDRA, O.I., VERGASOVA, L.P., KRETSER, Y.L., POLEKHOVSKY, Y.S., FILATOV, S.K., & KRIVOVICHEV, S.V. (2014) Unique thallium mineralization in the fumaroles of the Tolbachik volcano, Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia. II. Karpovite Tl₂VO (SO₄)₂·(H₂O). *Mineralogical Magazine* **78**, 1699–1709.
- THOMPSON, K.H., LICHTER, J., LEBEL, C., SCAIFE, M.C., MCNEILL, J.H., & ORVIG, C. (2009) Vanadium treatment of type 2 diabetes: a view to the future. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* 103, 554–558.
- TREVIÑO, S., SÁNCHEZ-LARA, E., SARMIENTO-ORTEGA, V.E., SÁNCHEZ LOMBARDO, I., FLORES-HERNÁNDEZ, J.A., PÉREZ-BENÍTEZ, A., BRAMBILA-COLOMBRES, E., & GONZÁLEZ-VERGARA, E. (2015) Hypoglycemic, lipid-lowering and metabolic regulation activities of metforminium decavanadate (H₂Metf)₃ [V₁₀O₂₈]·8H₂O using hypercaloricinduced carbohydrate and lipid deregulation in wistar rats as biological model. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* 147, 85–92.
- VALVERDE, J.A., ECHAVARRÍA, A., RIBEIRO, M.F., PALACIO, L.A., & EON, J-.G. (2012) Decavanadate-intercalated Ni– Al hydrotalcites as precursors of mixed oxides for the oxidative dehydrogenation of propane. *Catalysis Today* **192**, 136–143.
- VILLA, A.L., DE Vos, D.E., VERPOORT, F., SELS, B.F., & JACOBS, P.A. (2001) A study of V-pillared layered double hydroxides as catalysts for the epoxidation of terpenic unsaturated alcohols. *Journal of Catalysis* 198, 223–231.
- WILLSKY, G.R., CHI, L.-H., GODZALA, M., III, KOSTYNIAK, P.J., SMEE, J.J., TRUJILLO, A.M., ALFANO, J.A., DING, W., HU, Z., & CRANS, D.C. (2011) Anti-diabetic effects of a series of vanadium dipicolinate complexes in rats with streptozotocin-induced diabetes. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* 255, 2258–2269.
- Received November 15, 2018. Revised manuscript accepted January 30, 2019.